

Assessing & Reporting Student Progress

Philosophy and Recommendations

Revised August 2010



Introduction

In their comprehensive work on effective schools, Ron Edmunds and Larry Lezotte identified six characteristics that are common to schools that are successful in producing high levels of achievement for all students.

- ▼ Effective schools have principals who are true instructional leaders.
- ▼ Effective schools hold high expectations for all students.
- ▼ Effective schools place clear and constant emphasis on academics.
- ▼ Effective schools promote a positive school climate that supports optimal student achievement.
- ▼ Effective schools monitor and report student progress regularly.
- ▼ Effective schools value and nurture strong relationships between the school and the home.

This paper addresses issues related to two of the effective schools correlates:

- ▼ the regular and meaningful monitoring of student progress and
- ▼ the reporting of outcomes in such a way as to continually strengthen the school's relationships with parents and the community.

Parents learn of their child's progress in a variety of ways, including

It is important that the information parents receive be consistent across sources or a reasonable explanation provided in instances of discrepancy.

- ▼ Home reports summarizing the student's performance on the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS)
- ▼ Home reports summarizing the student's performance on other standardized test(s)
- ▼ Progress reports and report cards issued by the classroom teacher(s)
- ▼ Conferences with the child's teacher(s) and, in some cases, the student
- ▼ Letters, notes and/or phone conversations initiated on an as-needed basis by the parent or the teacher

It is important that the information parents receive be consistent across sources or a reasonable explanation provided in instances of discrepancy. It is of particular concern that there are a number of district students scoring at the below basic performance level on standardized tests who have historically earned high classroom grades on a consistent basis. A study of the relationship between standardized test scores and classroom grades in reading, English language arts, and mathematics for Lancaster County students in 1999-2000 revealed that the percentage of such students varies widely from school to school within the district.

An analysis of data by school indicated that, of the students in grades three through five who scored below basic on the state end-of-year assessment, the percentages of those earning grades of A or B for the year ranged from 10 to 52 in reading, from 8 to 60 in English language arts, and from 6 to 48 in mathematics. A similar

It is of particular concern that there are a number of district students scoring at the below basic performance level on standardized tests who have historically earned high classroom grades on a consistent basis.

analysis at the middle school level resulted in percentages ranging from 3 to 50 in English language arts and from 3 to 42 in mathematics.

These outcomes are somewhat alarming, given the fact that teaching and learning at all schools are based on the same prescribed academic standards. Arguably, if teachers are addressing the standards with the required degree of rigor and appropriate expectations for student performance, using research-based instructional strategies, protecting instructional time, and assessing student learning through a variety of meaningful assessment techniques, classroom grades should reflect the results of those practices. Such a great disparity in classroom grades across schools and the significant dissimilarity between classroom grades and standardized test scores are difficult to understand or defend.

In her book entitled *Data Analysis for Comprehensive Schoolwide Improvement* (1998), Victoria Bernhardt suggests four categories of measures which schools should consider in the system for monitoring progress and evaluating effectiveness: student demographics, student achievement, community perceptions and school processes. Practices related to monitoring student progress and reporting it meaningfully to parents are among the most significant of school process issues.

Research indicates that the practice of assigning letter grades is still the most frequently used way of reporting students' progress in the classroom. In a 1989 study that involved over 800 randomly selected school districts, Robinson and Craver concluded that districts stress varying elements in their grades. While all districts stressed

Arguably, if teachers are addressing the standards with the required degree of rigor and appropriate expectations for student performance, using research-based instructional strategies, protecting instructional time, and assessing student learning through a variety of meaningful assessment techniques, classroom grades should reflect the results of

academic achievement, some districts also included other elements such as effort, behavior, attendance, and/or cooperation.

The Robinson & Craver study was done using the official policies of school districts. In a separate study, the Mid-Continent Regional Educational Laboratory (McREL) polled individual teachers on the questions of interest.

An analysis of data related to grading practices clearly demonstrates significant discrepancy in the factors as well as the weighting of factors included in student classroom grades.

In effect, grades given by one teacher might mean something entirely different from grades given by another teacher, even though the teachers preside over two identical classes with identical students who are assigned identical work.

—Marzano and Kendall, 1996

Robert Linn and Norman Gronlund (1995) noted that letter grades are likely to be most meaningful and useful when they represent achievement only, and offered 10 guidelines for effective classroom grading:

- ▼ Describe grading procedures to students at the beginning of instruction.
- ▼ Make clear to students that the course grade will be based on achievement only.
- ▼ Explain how other elements (effort, work habits, personal characteristics) will be reported.

Practices related to monitoring student progress and reporting it meaningfully to parents are among the most significant of school process issues.

- ▼ Relate the grading procedures to the intended learning outcomes (academic standards).
- ▼ Obtain valid evidence as a basis for assigning grades.
- ▼ Take precautions to prevent cheating on tests and other assessments.
- ▼ Return and review all test and assessment results as soon as possible.
- ▼ Properly weight the various types of achievement included in the grade.
- ▼ Do not lower an achievement grade for tardiness, weak effort, or misbehavior.
- ▼ Be fair. Avoid bias, and when in doubt (as with a borderline score), review the evidence. If still in doubt, assign the higher grade.

Letter grades are likely to be most meaningful and useful when they represent achievement only.

– Robert Linn and Norman Gronlund

Recommendations

In light of the above discussion, the district recommends the following guidelines for the assignment of classroom grades at respective instructional levels.

Elementary Schools **Grades K-2**

- ▼ Report student progress, utilizing the following indicators:
 - The skill consistently demonstrated.
 - The skill sometimes demonstrated.
 - The skill is not demonstrated.
- ▼ Beginning first semester of second grade, use district/state numerical grading scale.
- ▼ Report student academic progress on the basis of achievement only; address effort, behavior, and/or attitude through a parent conference or other parent contact.
- ▼ Assure that homework is a meaningful, logical extension of classroom instruction and is viewed by teachers, students, and parents as an instructional tool rather than an assessment instrument.

Grades 2-5

- ▼ Use the following indicators to report student progress

A..... 93-100

B..... 85-92

C..... 77-84

D 70-76

F Below 70

- ▼ Report student progress on the basis of academic achievement only; address effort, behavior, and/or attitude on the comments section of the report card or through a parent conference or other parent contact.
- ▼ Assign a grade of “A” only in situations where the student has clearly demonstrated a high level of performance on the appropriate grade level standards.
- ▼ Assign a grade of “B” only in situations where the student has demonstrated significant progress toward mastering appropriate grade level standards.
- ▼ Use prior year PASS and MAP data to determine appropriate interventions and to prescribe academic assistance for individuals and groups of students; avoid using prior year data as an influencing factor in the assessment of a student’s current work.
- ▼ Assure that grades are derived from a variety of assessment types and formats.
- ▼ Base grades on at least eight assessments each six weeks in reading and mathematics and at least six assessments each six weeks in other subjects.
- ▼ Assign no grade lower than 60 at the end of a grading period.
- ▼ Emphasize the development and use of rubrics which address the criteria under which projects, presentations, essays and other classroom assignments will be evaluated.
- ▼ Incorporate the use of rubrics into the classroom assessment process on a regular basis; review the rubric with students prior to the assessment. This should be the same rubric that is used to evaluate the assignment.
- ▼ Assure that homework is a meaningful, logical extension of classroom instruction and is viewed by teachers, students, and parents as an instructional tool rather than an assessment instrument.
- ▼ Adopt a parent-teacher conference format in which the student serves as the conference leader by discussing, reflecting on, and sharing insights regarding his or her academic work.
- ▼ Adhere to the following guidelines for honor roll.

- ◆ Use only academic area grades (English language arts, mathematics, science, social studies) to determine honor roll eligibility.
- ◆ Implement school-based recognition programs to celebrate student accomplishments in non-core areas.

Middle Schools

- ▼ Use the following indicators to report student progress

A..... 93-100

B..... 85-92

C..... 77-84

D 70-76

F Below 70

- ▼ Report student academic progress on the basis of achievement only; address effort, behavior, and/or attitude on the comments section of the report card or through a parent conference or other parent contact.
- ▼ Assign a grade of “A” only in situations where the student has clearly demonstrated a high level of performance on the appropriate grade level standards.
- ▼ Assign a grade of “B” only in situations where the student has demonstrated significant progress toward mastering appropriate grade level standards.
- ▼ Use prior year PASS and MAP data to determine appropriate interventions and to prescribe academic assistance for individuals and groups of students; avoid using prior year data as an influencing factor in the assessment of a student’s current work.
- ▼ Assure that grades are derived from a variety of assessment types and formats.
- ▼ Base grades on at least ten separate assessments regardless of weighting each nine weeks in each core subject.
- ▼ Administer benchmark assessments at appropriate times and weight them according to district guidelines.

- ▼ For unit bearing courses, weight each final exam or end-of-course test 20 percent of the student's final grade; the exam should be cumulative.
- ▼ For non-unit-bearing courses, weight each final exam as one-tenth of the student's final grade.
- ▼ Assign no grade lower than 60 at the end of a grading period.
- ▼ Emphasize the development and use of rubrics which address the criteria under which projects, presentations, essays and other classroom assignments will be evaluated.
- ▼ Incorporate the use of rubrics into the classroom assessment process on a regular basis; review the rubric with students prior to the assessment.
- ▼ Assure that homework is a meaningful, logical extension of classroom instruction and is viewed by teachers, students, and parents as an instructional tool rather than an assessment instrument.
- ▼ Adopt a parent-teacher conference format in which the student serves as the conference leader by discussing, reflecting on, and sharing insights regarding his or her academic work.
- ▼ Use grades in all courses to determine honor roll eligibility.

High Schools

- ▼ Comply with all requirements of the Uniform Grading System.
- ▼ Use the following indicators to report student progress

A.....	93-100
B.....	85-92
C.....	77-84
D	70-76
F	Below 70
- ▼ Report student academic progress on the basis of achievement only; address effort, behavior, and/or attitude on the comments section of the report card or through a parent conference or other parent contact.

- ▼ Assign a grade of “A” only in situations where the student has clearly demonstrated a high level of performance on the appropriate grade level and/or course standards.
- ▼ Assign a grade of “B” only in situations where the student has demonstrated significant progress toward mastering appropriate grade level and/or course standards.
- ▼ Use prior year PASS, MAP and/or HSAP data to determine appropriate interventions and to prescribe academic assistance for individuals and groups of students; avoid using prior year data as an influencing factor in the assessment of a student’s current work.
- ▼ Assure that grades are derived from a variety of assessment types and formats.
- ▼ Base grades on at least five assessments each 4.5 weeks in each course.
- ▼ Weight each final exam or end-of-course test 20 percent of the student’s final grade.
- ▼ Assign no grade lower than 60 at the end of a grading period during the first half of a course.
- ▼ Emphasize the development and use of rubrics which address the criteria under which projects, presentations, essays and other classroom assignments will be evaluated.
- ▼ Incorporate the use of rubrics into the classroom assessment process on a regular basis; review the rubric with students prior to the assessment.
- ▼ Assure that homework is a meaningful, logical extension of classroom instruction and is viewed by teachers, students, and parents as an instructional tool rather than an assessment instrument.
- ▼ Adopt a parent-teacher conference format in which the student serves as the conference leader by discussing, reflecting on, and sharing insights regarding his or her academic work.
- ▼ Determine class rank and scholarship eligibility based on the Uniform Grading Scale.

- ▼ Determine honor graduate status based on the Uniform Grading Scale as follows:
 - ◆ Highest Honor
 - 4.28 minimum gpa on the Uniform Grading Scale
 - ◆ High Honor
 - 4.125 minimum gpa on the Uniform Grading Scale
 - ◆ Honor
 - 3.875 minimum gpa on the Uniform Grading Scale
- ▼ Use grade point average to determine eligibility for National Honor Society and Beta Club as follows:
 - ◆ National Honor Society
 - 4.125 minimum gpa on the Uniform Grading Scale
 - ◆ Beta Club
 - 3.75 minimum gpa on the Uniform Grading Scale

District support

To support teachers and school administrators in their efforts to improve the assessment and reporting of student progress, the district will give priority to the following professional development initiatives:

- ▼ Construction and grading of quality, standards-based classroom assessments and the meaningful interpretation of resulting data, with particular emphasis on the use of rubrics to support both instruction and assessment;
- ▼ Effective use of the student-led conference format for parent-teacher conferences;
- ▼ The role of school staff in helping parents understand what classroom grades and standardized test scores really mean and how to convey that meaning to their children.

Reflective questions to be addressed to school faculties

- ▼ For what purpose(s) do we assess student learning and how do we use assessment results to shape instruction?
- ▼ What are the implications of these recommendations for us individually; as a grade level, team, or department; as a school?
- ▼ To what extent are we using rubrics to support quality instruction and assessment individually; as a grade level, team, or department; as a school?
- ▼ What help do we need with rubrics-based instruction and assessment individually; as a grade level, team, or department; as a school?
- ▼ To what extent are our assessment and reporting practices consistent within and across grade levels or departments?
- ▼ To what extent do our classroom assessments match the level at which students are expected to perform on state assessments?
- ▼ What practices do we support for assigning, grading and weighting of homework?
- ▼ How do we convert letter grades to number grades under the Uniform Grading Scale?
- ▼ What other issues are important for us to discuss as a grade level, team, department, or school?

References

Bernhardt, V. (1998). *Data Analysis for Comprehensive Schoolwide Improvement*. Eye on Education

Countryman, L. and Schroeder, M. “When Students Lead Parent-Teacher Conferences.” *Educational Leadership*. 53(7). 1996

Linn, R. and Gronlund, N. (1995). *Measurement and Assessment In Teaching, Seventh Edition*; Merrill Publishers

Marzano, R. and Kendall, J. (1996). *Designing Standards-Based Districts, Schools, and Classrooms*. Mid-Continent Regional Educational Laboratory

Wiggins, G. and McTighe, J. (1998). *Understanding by Design*. ASCD